UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,949	03/24/2004	Tong Zhang	200300641-1	4075
	7590 02/19/201 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/807,949	ZHANG, TONG				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624				
All Participants:	Status of Application: App	oeal Brief filed				
(1) <u>SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>J. Michael Johnson (Reg. No. 37,856)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 12 February 2010	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A						
Claims discussed: 23 and 36						
Prior art documents discussed: N/A						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Sath V Perungavoor/						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that (1) claim 23 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 24, 32 and 33 were included and (2) claim 36 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 45, 46 and 47 were included. Applicant's representative did not agree. Hence, any statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner is no longer relevant/moot/withdrawn and the Examiner's Answer will override all statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner during the interviews. Applicant should proceed based on the Examiner's Answer ONLY.